

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/521,806	<b>Applicant(s)</b> O'NEAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Andriae M. Holt	<b>Art Unit</b> 1616	

**All Participants:**

(1) Andriae M. Holt.

(2) Monica Kitts.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2008

**Time:** 2:15 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

25-28

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted Ms. Kitts to discuss grammatical changes in the claims to advance application to allowance. The changes included: claims 25 and 26, the insertion of the term "A" before "Synergistic herbicidal mixture" in line 1; claim 27, line 2, the insertion of the term "and" after "claim 1"; and claim 28 line 2, the insertion of the term "and" after "component C)". Ms. Kitts agreed to the changes per Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

